

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
FRIDAY, MARCH 7, 2003
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S113907

HAWKINS (DAVID W.) ON H.C.
Application for stay denied

S045078

PEOPLE v. CLARK (ROYAL)
Extension of time granted

to 4/29/2003 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, no further extension will be contemplated. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S106503

B146708 Second Appellate District,
Division Five

JARROW FORMULAS v. LAMARCHE
Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the Reply Brief on the Merits is extended to and including March 17, 2003.

S113907

HAWKINS (DAVID W.) ON H.C.
Order filed

Petitioner's "Motion for Stay of County Jail Sentence" filed on February 28, 2003 is denied.

S095777

FRANCISCO ON DISCIPLINE
Probation revoked

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that probation is revoked, the previously ordered stay of execution of suspension in S095777 is lifted, and GORDON ROBERT FRANCISCO, State Bar No. 93926, shall be actually suspended from

the practice of law for six months which shall be consecutive to the period of actual suspension previous imposed in S108773. If Gordon Robert Francisco is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S112153

ETIENNE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

S112158

JUAREZ ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that MICHAEL GUZMAN JUAREZ, State Bar No. 151022, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he makes the restitution specified below and provides satisfactory proof thereof to the State Bar Probation Unit, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for 120 days and until he makes restitution to Deborah and Juan Bejarano (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$900 plus 10% interest per annum from July 25, 2000, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on October 3, and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. Respondent is also ordered to

comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that respondent comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S112159

GONZALEZ ON DISCIPLINE
Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that DANIEL RICARDO GONZALEZ, State Bar No. 113338, be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 16, 2002. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See

Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S112243

BUSCHO ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that ROBERT JOSEPH BUSCHO, State Bar No. 122556, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including three months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 13, 2002, as modified by its order filed October 22, 2002. It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one- third of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2004, 2005 and 2006. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

S112244

CURTIS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that PAUL HOWARD CURTIS, State Bar No. 183457, be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years subject to the conditions of probation, including six months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving filed on August 27, 2002. It is further ordered that he comply

with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-third of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2004, 2005 and 2006. (Business & Professions Code section 6086.10.)

S112245

SMITH ON DISCIPLINE
Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that FRANK LEONARD SMITH III, State Bar No. 60022, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for one month. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed September 20, 2002, as modified by its orders filed September 20, 2002 and October 23, 2002. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-half of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2004 and 2005. (Business & Professions Code section 6086.10.)

S112251

FOYTACK ON DISCIPLINE
Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

S114033

ODOM ON RESIGNATION
Resignation accepted with disc. proceeding pending

S114037

SLUYTER ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disc. proceeding pending

D038674 Fourth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. PORTER (ERICK M.)

Order filed

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including April 9, 2003. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 28(a)(1).)

Bar Misc. 4186

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF
THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR
ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO
ORIGINAL ORDER)